Table 3B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 1 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Comp	arison of Racia	al and Ethnic	Distribution (2)		
County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknow
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Adams	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Barnes	63	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benson	96	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bottineau	21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bowman	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burleigh	455	66.6	1.1	4.4	24.6	0.2	3.1	0.0
Cass	857	74.1	11.6	4.7	4.3	3.0	2.3	0.0
Cavalier	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dickey	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Divide	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dunn	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eddy	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Emmons	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Foster	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Golden Val	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grand Fork	535	67.7	7.5	9.9	8.4	2.1	4.5	0.0
Grant	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Griggs	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hettinger	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kidder	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 2 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2) American White, Not Hispanic Black, Not Hispanic Number Asian/Pacific Multiple Races All Other/Unknown Hispanic County Indian/Alaska Included in Islander Native Analysis % % % % % % % LaMoure 2 Logan 6 McHenry 14 McIntosh 16 McKenzie 2 McLean 39 Mercer 22 Morton 124 65.3 7.3 21.8 1.6 2.4 1.6 0.0 Mountrail 5 Nelson 10 Pembina 34 Pierce 11 Ramsey 109 56.9 1.8 1.8 34.9 0.9 3.7 0.0 Ransom 31 Renville 2 Richland 101 81.2 3.0 5.9 7.9 1.0 0.0 1.0 Rolette 302 2.3 0.0 4.0 92.1 0.0 1.3 0.3 Sargent 14 Sheridan 10 Stark 117 93.2 0.9 0.0 2.6 2.6 0.9 0.0



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Page: 3 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Comp	arison of Racia	al and Ethnic	Distribution (2	2)		
County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknown
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Steele	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stutsman	137	82.5	8.0	7.3	0.7	0.0	1.5	0.0
Towner	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Traill	43	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Walsh	79	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ward	539	75.0	3.2	9.5	5.9	2.0	4.5	0.0
Wells	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Williams	126	74.6	0.0	15.1	4.0	0.8	4.8	0.8
North Dakota	4,100	67.3	4.5	7.1	17.0	1.5	2.6	0.1
Nation (Prior Year)	1,304,320	41.6	24.1	28.3	1.1	3.2	1.2	0.5



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 4 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ution (2)			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Adams	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Barnes	63	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Benson	96	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bottineau	21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Bowman	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Burleigh	455	0.0	5.7	14.3	64.0	14.9	1.1	0.0
Cass	857	0.0	3.7	9.2	67.3	18.3	1.4	0.0
Cavalier	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dickey	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Divide	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dunn	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Eddy	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Emmons	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Foster	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Golden Val	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grand Fork	535	0.0	5.0	14.4	64.9	15.0	0.7	0.0
Grant	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Griggs	12	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hettinger	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 5 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ition (2)			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Kidder	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LaMoure	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Logan	6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McHenry	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McIntosh	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McKenzie	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McLean	39	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mercer	22	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Morton	124	0.0	4.8	9.7	65.3	19.4	0.8	0.0
Mountrail	5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nelson	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pembina	34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pierce	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ramsey	109	0.9	8.3	19.3	60.6	11.0	0.0	0.0
Ransom	31	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Renville	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Richland	101	0.0	4.0	16.8	64.4	13.9	1.0	0.0
Rolette	302	0.7	8.3	15.6	58.6	16.6	0.3	0.0
Sargent	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County

Page: 6 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ition ⁽²⁾			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Sheridan	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stark	117	0.0	6.8	11.1	62.4	19.7	0.0	0.0
Steele	7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Stutsman	137	0.7	7.3	13.9	61.3	15.3	1.5	0.0
Towner	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Traill	43	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Walsh	79	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ward	539	0.0	3.2	15.4	68.6	11.9	0.6	0.4
Wells	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Williams	126	0.0	6.3	17.5	56.3	19.0	0.8	0.0
North Dakota	4,100	0.2	4.9	13.1	64.5	16.2	1.0	0.0
Nation (Prior Year)	1,304,320	0.3	5.8	12.3	59.4	20.4	1.5	0.3



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 7 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

			Con	npariso	n of Mate	rnal Heal	th Indic	cators (2, 3	3)					
County	Pre	epregnancy (4)	вмі		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Hb (6)	/Hct)		Gestational Diabetes During Pregnancy		``_	ertension Ouring egnancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight		< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd T	rimester	Post	partum				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Numb	er % (Rank)
Adams	14	* *	* *	9	* *	* *	4	* *	4	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Barnes	47	* *	* *	42	* *	* *	12	* *	35	* *	49	* *	48	* *
Benson	90	* *	* *	65	* *	* *	14	* *	34	* *	60	* *	60	* *
Bottineau	18	* *	* *	14	* *	* *	3	* *	16	* *	16	* *	16	* *
Bowman	7	* *	* *	5	* *	* *	1	* *	2	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Burleigh	415	3.1 (5)	55.7 (6)	337	16.0 (2)	53.7 (5)	65	* *	332	19.9 (2)	364	6.3 (6)	364	11.5(4)
Cass	791	4.3 (8)	53.5 (5)	665	20.5 (5)	48.4 (1)	117	36.8 (1)	597	22.6 (4)	695	6.2 (5)	695	8.6(2)
Cavalier	11	* *	* *	10	* *	* *	2	* *	2	* *	11	* *	11	* *
Dickey	20	* *	* *	16	* *	* *	3	* *	12	* *	15	* *	15	* *
Divide	5	* *	* *	5	* *	* *	0	* *	2	* *	4	* *	4	* *
Dunn	2	* *	* *	1	* *	* *	0	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *
Eddy	5	* *	* *	5	* *	* *	0	* *	7	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Emmons	28	* *	* *	26	* *	* *	3	* *	16	* *	26	* *	26	* *
Foster	8	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	0	* *	10	* *	12	* *	12	* *
Golden Val	3	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	0	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *
Grand Fork	446	1.3 (1)	52.0 (2)	339	18.3 (3)	50.7 (3)	110	40.0 (2)	272	31.3 (5)	385	4.7 (3)	386	12.2(6)
Grant	5	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	4	* *	4	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 8 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

			Con	npariso	n of Mater	nal Heal	th Indica	ators (2, 3	3)		
County	Pre	epregnancy (4)	ВМІ		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Hb	/Hct)	Gestational Diabetes During Pregnancy	Hypertension During Pregnancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight		< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd Tri	imester	Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Griggs	9	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	2	* *	4 * *	11 * *	11 * *
Hettinger	5	* *	* *	5	* *	* *	2	* *	2 * *	7 * *	7 * *
Kidder	7	* *	* *	7	* *	* *	2	* *	7 * *	7 * *	7 * *
LaMoure	2	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	0	* *	1 * *	1 * *	1 * *
Logan	5	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	0	* *	4 * *	4 * *	5 * *
McHenry	10	* *	* *	7	* *	* *	1	* *	10 * *	11 * *	11 * *
McIntosh	14	* *	* *	14	* *	* *	1	* *	5 * *	4 * *	5 * *
McKenzie	2	* *	* *	2	* *	* *	1	* *	2 * *	2 * *	2 * *
McLean	30	* *	* *	26	* *	* *	4	* *	25 * *	30 * *	30 * *
Mercer	18	* *	* *	15	* *	* *	4	* *	19 * *	19 * *	19 * *
Morton	109	3.7 (7)	59.6 (8)	99	* *	* *	17	* *	99 * *	112 4.5 (2)	112 2.7(1)
Mountrail	5	* *	* *	3	* *	* *	2	* *	3 * *	3 * *	3 * *
Nelson	9	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	3	* *	4 * *	5 * *	5 * *
Pembina	25	* *	* *	24	* *	* *	8	* *	20 * *	30 * *	30 * *
Pierce	10	* *	* *	9	* *	* *	0	* *	7 * *	9 * *	9 * *
Ramsey	104	1.9 (3)	51.9 (1)	90	* *	* *	16	* *	84 * *	91 * *	91 * *
Ransom	23	* *	* *	20	* *	* *	3	* *	12 * *	25 * *	25 * *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 9 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

			Con	nparisor	of Mater	nal Heal	th Indic	ators (2, 3	3)					
County	Pre	oregnancy (4)	ВМІ		Weight Gain (5)			Anen (Low Ht	o/Hct)		Gestat Diab Duri Pregn	etes ng	Dur	ension ing nancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight		< Ideal	> Ideal	3rd T	rimester	Postp	artum				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Renville	1	* *	* *	1	* *	* *	0	* *	2	* *	2	* *	2	* *
Richland	85	* *	* *	64	* *	* *	11	* *	66	* *	77	* *	77	* *
Rolette	279	1.4 (2)	65.2 (9)	255	18.4 (4)	48.6 (2)	23	* *	220	21.4 (3)	262	7.3 (7)	264	12.1(5)
Sargent	13	* *	* *	10	* *	* *	2	* *	4	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Sheridan	9	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	2	* *	5	* *	6	* *	6	* *
Stark	83	* *	* *	56	* *	* *	18	* *	46	* *	76	* *	76	* *
Steele	4	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	1	* *	4	* *	6	* *	6	* *
Stutsman	112	5.4 (9)	52.7 (3)	96	* *	* *	22	* *	88	* *	112	3.6 (1)	111	9.0(3)
Towner	8	* *	* *	7	* *	* *	2	* *	7	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Traill	37	* *	* *	28	* *	* *	6	* *	27	* *	32	* *	31	* *
Walsh	75	* *	* *	64	* *	* *	11	* *	44	* *	62	* *	62	* *
Ward	433	2.5 (4)	53.3 (4)	345	13.6 (1)	53.3 (4)	97	* *	280	15.4 (1)	358	5.0 (4)	359	13.1(7)
Wells	15	* *	* *	13	* *	* *	2	* *	10	* *	13	* *	13	* *
Williams	112	3.6 (6)	57.1 (7)	84	* *	* *	25	* *	73	* *	86	* *	85	* *
North Dakota	3,568	2.9	56.1	2,929	17.2	50.1	622	28.5	2,527	21.7	3,141	5.8	3,143	11.1
Nation (Prior Year)	1,226,875	4.5	52.9	917,032	21.2	48.2	189,944	33.9	412,633	28.8	389,161	5.0	394,368	6.8

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 10 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

	(Compar	isoı	n of N	late	rnal Beh	aviora	ıl Ind	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical	Care			WIC Er	nrollment		1	Multivitar	nin Co	onsumption		
		1st Trimes	ter	No C	are	1st Tr	imester			egnancy 4)			Preganan 5)	ıcy
	Number	% (Ran		% (Ra		Number	% (Rar		Number	% (Rar		Number		% ank)
Adams	7	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	9	*	*	6	*	*
Barnes	41	*	*	*	*	49	*	*	48	*	*	46	*	*
Benson	77	*	*	*	*	91	*	*	21	*	*	81	*	*
Bottineau	15	*	*	*	*	18	*	*	19	*	*	17	*	*
Bowman	6	*	*	*	*	6	*	*	7	*	*	4	*	*
Burleigh	262	63.7	(2)	29.8	(4)	423	42.3	(6)	356	22.5	(5)	322	28.3	(6)
Cass	538	60.4	(4)	29.6	(3)	758	45.6	(4)	593	22.6	(4)	587	29.1	(3)
Cavalier	12	*	*	*	*	12	*	*	12	*	*	9	*	*
Dickey	12	*	*	*	*	18	*	*	18	*	*	15	*	*
Divide	3	*	*	*	*	4	*	*	3	*	*	2	*	*
Dunn	1	*	*	*	*	2	*	*	2	*	*	2	*	*
Eddy	4	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Emmons	24	*	*	*	*	28	*	*	26	*	*	21	*	*
Foster	7	*	*	*	*	8	*	*	7	*	*	5	*	*
Golden Val	2	*	*	*	*	3	*	*	3	*	*	3	*	*
Grand Fork	303	62.0	(3)	27.7	(2)	458	50.0	(3)	429	23.3	(3)	367	28.6	(5)
Grant	2	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*
Griggs	9	*	*	*	*	9	*	*	11	*	*	8	*	*
Hettinger	5	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*
Kidder	7	*	*	*	*	7	*	*	6	*	*	6	*	*

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Page: 11 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

	С	ompar	isoı	n of N	late	rnal Beh	aviora	al Ind	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical	Care			WIC E	nrollmen	t	ı	Multivitar	min Co	onsumptio	า	
	1	st Trimes	ster	No C	are	1st Tı	imester			egnancy 4)			Preganan (5)	су
	Number	% (Rar		% (Ra		Number	% (Ra		Number	% (Rar		Number		% ank)
LaMoure	1	*	*	*	*	2	*	*	2	*	*	2	*	*
Logan	4	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*
McHenry	6	*	*	*	*	10	*	*	11	*	*	10	*	*
McIntosh	8	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	13	*	*	12	*	*
McKenzie	1	*	*	*	*	2	*	*	1	*	*	1	*	*
McLean	22	*	*	*	*	31	*	*	30	*	*	28	*	*
Mercer	12	*	*	*	*	19	*	*	14	*	*	12	*	*
Morton	84	*	*	*	*	111	32.4	(9)	96	*	*	84	*	*
Mountrail	2	*	*	*	*	5	*	*	3	*	*	4	*	*
Nelson	6	*	*	*	*	9	*	*	8	*	*	6	*	*
Pembina	23	*	*	*	*	26	*	*	24	*	*	22	*	*
Pierce	9	*	*	*	*	10	*	*	10	*	*	7	*	*
Ramsey	75	*	*	*	*	107	44.9	(5)	88	*	*	81	*	*
Ransom	20	*	*	*	*	23	*	*	24	*	*	21	*	*
Renville	1	*	*	*	*	1	*	*	1	*	*	0	*	*
Richland	63	*	*	*	*	84	*	*	83	*	*	68	*	*
Rolette	231	57.6	(5)	33.8	(5)	285	59.6	(1)	284	14.1	(6)	230	32.2	(2)
Sargent	8	*	*	*	*	14	*	*	11	*	*	10	*	*
Sheridan	6	*	*	*	*	9	*	*	8	*	*	6	*	*
Stark	59	*	*	*	*	85	*	*	87	*	*	73	*	*

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Table 6B 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Page: 12 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

	C	ompar	iso	n of N	late	rnal Beha	aviora	al Ind	dicators	(2, 3)				
County	Medical Care					WIC Enrollment			Multivitamin Consumption					
		Ist Trimes	ster	No C	are	1st Tri	mester		-	egnancy (4)		During F	Preganan 5)	ісу
	Number	% (Rai		% (Ra	-	Number	% (Ra	-	Number	% (Ra	•	Number		% ank)
Steele	4	*	*	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*
Stutsman	82	*	*	*	*	114	52.6	(2)	111	35.1	(1)	101	34.7	(1)
Towner	4	*	*	*	*	8	*	*	5	*	*	5	*	*
Traill	30	*	*	*	*	37	*	*	38	*	*	8	*	*
Walsh	54	*	*	*	*	74	*	*	65	*	*	48	*	*
Ward	323	69.3	(1)	23.2	(1)	447	39.6	(8)	414	27.5	(2)	368	28.8	(4)
Wells	13	*	*	*	*	15	*	*	14	*	*	10	*	*
Williams	62	*	*	*	*	118	41.5	(7)	69	*	*	88	*	*
North Dakota	2,550	63.5		27.6		3,592	45.1		3,107	24.0		2,827	30.6	
Nation (Prior Year)	527,184	82.2		3.1		1,213,982	34.1		360,369	18.7		372,743	83.5	



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.

⁽⁵⁾ Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month; proxy for prenatal iron consumption.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 13 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
Barnes		Smo	king		By First	Smoking : Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
		onths Pregnancy		Months gnancy	Visit and Ciga	Stayed Off arettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postp	artum
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Adams	10	* *	7	* *	1	* *	11	* *	6	* *
Barnes	49	* *	49	* *	16	* *	48	* *	46	* *
Benson	90	* *	62	* *	27	* *	83	* *	62	* *
Bottineau	19	* *	16	* *	5	* *	18	* *	17	* *
Bowman	7	* *	6	* *	0	* *	6	* *	7	* *
Burleigh	364	48.9 (5)	364	25.5 (5)	137	34.3 (2)	354	13.6 (5)	357	4.5 (4)
Cass	686	40.5 (3)	700	19.4 (2)	211	37.4 (1)	653	12.1 (4)	677	2.7 (2)
Cavalier	12	* *	11	* *	2	* *	12	* *	9	* *
Dickey	16	* *	16	* *	4	* *	16	* *	15	* *
Divide	2	* *	5	* *	1	* *	4	* *	5	* *
Dunn	2	* *	1	* *	0	* *	2	* *	0	* *
Eddy	3	* *	7	* *	1	* *	4	* *	7	* *
Emmons	28	* *	26	* *	2	* *	25	* *	25	* *
Foster	7	* *	12	* *	4	* *	6	* *	12	* *
Golden Val	3	* *	1	* *	1	* *	3	* *	1	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 14 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Indi	cators	(2, 3)			
County		Smo	king		Quit Sr By First	Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
		onths Pregnancy	Last 3 Months of Pregnancy			Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Grand Fork	410	34.6 (1)	388	20.9 (3)	86	* *	394	11.9 (3)	356	7.6 (6)
Grant	5	* *	4	* *	0	* *	5	* *	4	* *
Griggs	11	* *	11	* *	5	* *	9	* *	11	* *
Hettinger	5	* *	7	* *	0	* *	4	* *	6	* *
Kidder	6	* *	7	* *	1	* *	6	* *	7	* *
LaMoure	2	* *	1	* *	1	* *	2	* *	1	* *
Logan	5	* *	4	* *	1	* *	4	* *	3	* *
McHenry	9	* *	11	* *	3	* *	11	* *	11	* *
McIntosh	12	* *	11	* *	2	* *	12	* *	11	* *
McKenzie	1	* *	2	* *	1	* *	1	* *	2	* *
McLean	31	* *	32	* *	14	* *	29	* *	28	* *
Mercer	14	* *	19	* *	8	* *	13	* *	19	* *
Morton	94	* *	112	21.4 (4)	43	* *	91	* *	111	3.6 (3)
Mountrail	4	* *	3	* *	2	* *	4	* *	3	* *
Nelson	9	* *	5	* *	1	* *	9	* *	5	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 15 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
County		Smo	king			Smoking : Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
	3 Mc Prior to P			Months gnancy	Visit and	Stayed Off arettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Pembina	24	* *	30	* *	7	* *	22	* *	30	* *
Pierce	10	* *	9	* *	1	* *	7	* *	9	* *
Ramsey	95	* *	93	* *	39	* *	88	* *	93	* *
Ransom	21	* *	25	* *	10	* *	21	* *	25	* *
Renville	1	* *	2	* *	1	* *	1	* *	2	* *
Richland	81	* *	78	* *	43	* *	80	* *	78	* *
Rolette	282	62.1 (6)	267	43.1 (7)	150	24.7 (3)	273	29.7 (6)	259	10.4 (7)
Sargent	11	* *	7	* *	2	* *	10	* *	7	* *
Sheridan	8	* *	9	* *	3	* *	8	* *	9	* *
Stark	85	* *	78	* *	16	* *	81	* *	76	* *
Steele	5	* *	6	* *	1	* *	4	* *	6	* *
Stutsman	113	44.2 (4)	114	28.1 (6)	40	* *	107	9.3 (1)	102	1.0 (1)
Towner	7	* *	7	* *	2	* *	7	* *	7	* *
Traill	39	* *	31	* *	4	* *	34	* *	27	* *
Walsh	63	* *	64	* *	16	* *	59	* *	61	* *

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 16 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Indi	icators	(2, 3)			
County		Smo	king			Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
		onths Pregnancy	Last 3 Months of Pregnancy			Stayed Off rettes (4)	Prenatal		Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Ward	409	39.9 (2)	359	13.1 (1)	87	* *	393	10.9 (2)	352	4.5 (4)
Wells	14	* *	13	* *	4	* *	13	* *	13	* *
Williams	94	* *	86	* *	32	* *	86	* *	80	* *
North Dakota	3,278	44.4	3,178	24.3	1,038	33.9	3,133	15.6	3,060	6.7
Nation (Prior Year)	1,040,56	8 22.9	838,781	13.0	156,709	36.7	650,648	17.1	559,995	16.8



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Page: 17 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2, 3	5)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term (7)	LBW	Ever B	reastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Adams	3	* *	* *	3	* *	2	* *	3	* *
Barnes	41	* *	* *	29	* *	27	* *	42	* *
Benson	46	* *	* *	44	* *	40	* *	55	* *
Bottineau	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1	* *	1	* *
Bowman	2	* *	* *	2	* *	1	* *	5	* *
Burleigh	328	7.3 (1)	9.5 (4)	308	10.1 (1)	275	1.5 (1)	335	40.3 (4)
Cass	393	8.7 (2)	5.3 (1)	387	12.1 (3)	338	3.8 (4)	401	47.6 (1)
Cavalier	6	* *	* *	5	* *	5	* *	7	* *
Dickey	15	* *	* *	11	* *	10	* *	15	* *
Divide	3	* *	* *	3	* *	3	* *	3	* *
Dunn	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Eddy	6	* *	* *	5	* *	4	* *	7	* *
Emmons	22	* *	* *	22	* *	21	* *	21	* *
Foster	8	* *	* *	8	* *	3	* *	11	* *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 18 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Compai	ison of I	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2, 3)	
County		Birthweigh	t	Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)				
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Golden Val	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *
Grand Fork	296	11.5 (5)	6.4 (3)	236	14.8 (5)	198 2.0 (3)	321 46.1 (2)
Grant	2	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	3 * *
Griggs	6	* *	* *	4	* *	3 * *	7 * *
Hettinger	3	* *	* *	3	* *	2 * *	4 * *
Kidder	7	* *	* *	7	* *	7 * *	7 * *
LaMoure	1	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	1 * *
Logan	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *
McHenry	10	* *	* *	6	* *	5 * *	10 * *
McIntosh	2	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	2 * *
McKenzie	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *
McLean	23	* *	* *	17	* *	15 * *	24 * *
Mercer	17	* *	* *	16	* *	15 * *	19 * *
Morton	100	10.0 (4)	10.0 (5)	90	* *	81 * *	101 36.6 (5)

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 19 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		Compari	son of I	nfant He	alth Indic	ators	2, 3)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)		rm LBW 7)	Ever E	Breastfed
		Low (4)	High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Mountrail	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	0	* *
Nelson	3	* *	* *	2	* *	2	* *	3	* *
Pembina	21	* *	* *	17	* *	14	* *	23	* *
Pierce	7	* *	* *	6	* *	5	* *	7	* *
Ramsey	72	* *	* *	76	* *	63	* *	80	* *
Ransom	12	* *	* *	8	* *	8	* *	13	* *
Renville	2	* *	* *	1	* *	1	* *	2	* *
Richland	67	* *	* *	53	* *	48	* *	70	* *
Rolette	226	12.4 (6) 1	1.1 (6)	214	11.2 (2)	190	5.8 (5)	232	25.9 (6)
Sargent	6	* *	* *	6	* *	6	* *	7	* *
Sheridan	2	* *	* *	2	* *	2	* *	2	* *
Stark	39	* *	* *	24	* *	21	* *	43	* *
Steele	5	* *	* *	2	* *	2	* *	5	* *
Stutsman	47	* *	* *	37	* *	36	* *	50	* *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
- * Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page: 20 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

	C	Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2	2, 3)		
County	E	Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)		rm LBW 7)	Ever B	reastfed
	Low High (4) (5)				Ý	,	,		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Towner	6	* *	* *	6	* *	4	* *	6	* *
Traill	11	* *	* *	9	* *	8	* *	11	* *
Walsh	57	* *	* *	53	* *	45	* *	57	* *
Ward	245	9.8 (3)	6.1 (2)	184	14.1 (4)	154	1.9 (2)	264	40.9 (3)
Wells	11	* *	* *	11	* *	10	* *	11	* *
Williams	5	* *	* *	2	* *	0	* *	5	* *
North Dakota	2,186	8.5	7.8	1,924	11.7	1,679	3.0	2,297	39.7
Nation (Prior Year)	1,018,145	8.2	6.7	1,017,33	3 11.1	848,957	3.5	997,136	66.7



⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

^{(4) &}lt;2500 g.

^{(5) &}gt;4000 g.

^{(6) &}lt;37 weeks gestation.

^{(7) 37} or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2) American White, Not Hispanic Black, Not Hispanic Number Asian/Pacific Multiple Races All Other/Unknown Hispanic County Indian/Alaska Included in Islander Native Analysis % % % % % % % Adams 38 Barnes 155 84.5 1.9 6.5 5.8 0.6 0.6 0.0 Benson 326 5.2 0.0 1.8 92.0 0.6 0.3 0.0 Bottineau 60 Bowman 37 Burke 10 Burleigh 67.0 23.3 1,347 0.9 5.1 0.6 3.1 0.0 Cass 73.4 11.5 6.0 4.8 2.2 2.0 0.0 2,537 Cavalier 40 Dickey 79 Divide 13 Dunn 10 Eddy 18 Emmons 67 Foster 48 Golden Val 10 **Grand Fork** 1,624 68.6 6.4 9.6 8.8 2.2 4.4 0.0 Grant 17 Griggs 37 15 Hettinger

(1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

(2) Excludes data with errors.



Page:

21 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2) American White, Not Hispanic Black, Not Hispanic Number Asian/Pacific Multiple Races All Other/Unknown Hispanic County Indian/Alaska Included in Islander Native Analysis % % % % % % % Kidder 29 LaMoure 11 Logan 14 McHenry 47 McIntosh 48 McKenzie 14 McLean 93 Mercer 67 Morton 402 72.1 1.2 7.0 16.7 0.7 2.2 0.0 Mountrail 16 Nelson 29 Pembina 95 Pierce 46 Ramsey 336 61.9 0.9 2.7 28.9 0.3 5.4 0.0 Ransom 96 Renville 13 Richland 299 76.9 2.3 9.4 9.7 1.0 0.3 0.3 Rolette 918 3.3 0.0 4.4 90.5 0.2 1.5 0.1 Sargent 46 Sheridan 28



Page: Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 3B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution by County

Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Racial and Ethnic Distribution (2)													
County	Number Included in	White, Not Hispanic	Black, Not Hispanic	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	All Other/Unknown					
	Analysis	%	%	%	%	%	%	%					
Stark	379	89.4	0.3	4.5	4.5	0.5	0.8	0.0					
Steele	21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Stutsman	349	89.4	3.4	5.4	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.0					
Towner	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Traill	118	89.0	0.0	8.5	1.7	0.8	0.0	0.0					
Walsh	289	59.2	0.3	34.3	4.5	0.3	1.4	0.0					
Ward	1,632	75.7	2.6	8.3	7.0	1.6	4.7	0.1					
Wells	44	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Williams	391	76.2	0.3	10.0	5.4	0.8	5.9	1.5					
North Dakota	12,375	67.5	4.0	7.3	17.1	1.2	2.7	0.1					



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

			Combined	13 Years									
Comparison of Age Distribution (2)													
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %					
Adams	38	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Barnes	155	0.6	3.9	12.3	61.9	18.7	2.6	0.0					
Benson	326	0.6	9.2	17.2	54.9	17.2	0.9	0.0					
Bottineau	60	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Bowman	37	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Burke	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Burleigh	1,347	0.0	5.0	14.2	64.2	15.6	1.0	0.0					
Cass	2,537	0.1	3.7	11.4	65.8	17.8	1.2	0.1					
Cavalier	40	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Dickey	79	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Divide	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Dunn	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Eddy	18	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Emmons	67	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Foster	48	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Golden Val	10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Grand Fork	1,624	0.0	4.0	12.6	67.2	15.4	0.8	0.0					
Grant	17	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
Griggs	37	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Page: 25 Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

		С	omparison of	Age Distribu	ition (2)			
County	Number Included in Analysis	< 15 Years %	15 - 17 Years %	18 - 19 Years %	20 - 29 Years %	30 - 39 Years %	>= 40 Years %	Unknown %
Hettinger	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kidder	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LaMoure	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Logan	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McHenry	47	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McIntosh	48	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McKenzie	14	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
McLean	93	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mercer	67	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Morton	402	0.0	4.0	12.2	62.9	19.9	1.0	0.0
Mountrail	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Nelson	29	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pembina	95	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pierce	46	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ramsey	336	0.6	8.3	17.3	59.5	13.4	0.9	0.0
Ransom	96	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Renville	13	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Richland	299	0.0	3.3	14.0	68.9	13.4	0.3	0.0
Rolette	918	0.3	9.5	14.8	60.9	14.2	0.3	0.0

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

^{*} Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 4B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Age Distribution by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Age Distribution County Number 18 - 19 Years < 15 Years 15 - 17 Years 20 - 29 Years >= 40 Years 30 - 39 Years Unknown Included in % % % % Analysis % Sargent 46 Sheridan 28 379 Stark 0.0 4.0 12.4 66.8 14.0 2.6 0.3 Steele 21 Stutsman 349 0.3 6.3 15.2 60.7 15.8 1.7 0.0 Towner 17 0.0 2.5 12.7 16.1 0.0 Traill 118 67.8 8.0 8.3 Walsh 289 0.7 15.2 58.8 16.3 0.7 0.0 Ward 1,632 0.1 3.4 12.3 71.0 12.7 0.5 0.1 Wells 44 Williams 391 18.2 15.9 1.0 0.0 0.0 5.6 59.3 12,375 **North Dakota** 0.1 4.8 13.0 64.9 16.1 1.0 0.0



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes data with errors.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3)														
County	Pre	epregnancy (4)	вмі	Weight Gain (5)				Anen (Low Hb (6)	o/Hct)		Gesta Diab Dur Pregr	etes ing	Dι	rtension uring gnancy
	Under- Over- weight weight			< Ideal > Ideal		3rd T	rimester	Postpart	um					
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number (% Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Numbe	er % (Rank)
Adams	36	* *	* *	30	* *	* *	8	* *	18	* *	26	* *	26	* *
Barnes	119	2.5 (6)	62.2 (12)	104	13.5 (2)	59.6 (14)	29	* *	92	* *	122	2.5 (1)	121	13.2(11)
Benson	283	1.4 (1)	56.5 (8)	220	15.5 (6)	55.5 (12)	44	* *	95	* *	218	8.3 (13)	218	14.7(12)
Bottineau	47	* *	* *	38	* *	* *	9	* *	41	* *	49	* *	49	* *
Bowman	30	* *	* *	22	* *	* *	6	* *	15	* *	27	* *	27	* *
Burke	7	* *	* *	7	* *	* *	2	* *	5	* *	9	* *	9	* *
Burleigh	1,229	3.1 (10)	56.4 (7)	1,050	17.3 (11)	51.8 (7)	196	29.1 (2)	935 19	9.7 (5)	1,093	7.1 (10)	1,093	12.2(9)
Cass	2,250	3.6 (12)	53.3 (2)	1,910	17.9 (13)	50.8 (6)	390	29.7 (3)	1,700 22	2.4 (8)	2,065	5.5 (6)	2,063	8.0(2)
Cavalier	37	* *	* *	30	* *	* *	3	* *	9	* *	19	* *	19	* *
Dickey	69	* *	* *	56	* *	* *	16	* *	47	* *	58	* *	58	* *
Divide	10	* *	* *	10	* *	* *	0	* *	9	* *	11	* *	11	* *
Dunn	10	* *	* *	8	* *	* *	0	* *	2	* *	6	* *	6	* *
Eddy	15	* *	* *	14	* *	* *	3	* *	14	* *	17	* *	17	* *
Emmons	60	* *	* *	56	* *	* *	6	* *	34	* *	61	* *	61	* *
Foster	38	* *	* *	31	* *	* *	5	* *	31	* *	38	* *	38	* *
Golden Val	5	* *	* *	4	* *	* *	1	* *	7	* *	8	* *	8	* *
Grand Fork	1,298	2.2 (3)	53.6 (5)	1,000	16.1 (8)	53.0 (10)	287	34.5 (4)	780 27	7.8 (11)	1,157	3.7 (3)	1,157	12.8(10)

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3) Hypertension Gestational Weight Gain Anemia County Prepregnancy BMI Diabetes During (Low Hb/Hct) (4) (5) During Pregnancy (6) Pregnancy Under-Over-< Ideal > Ideal 3rd Trimester Postpartum weight weight % % % % Number % Number % % % Number Number Number Number (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) (Rank) 12 16 15 14 Grant 26 19 34 34 28 Griggs 12 * * * * 4 12 12 13 Hettinger 24 22 25 Kidder 26 26 8 9 9 10 LaMoure 10 10 * * * * 6 12 10 11 Logan 32 28 40 38 40 McHenry 34 13 9 10 39 McIntosh * * 10 * * 9 12 12 McKenzie 11 65 McLean 76 12 56 74 74 51 14 59 51 55 55 Mercer 303 58 269 7.6 (12) 329 Morton 361 329 3.6 (12) 53.5 (4) 13.9 (4) 50.5 (4) 19.3 (4) 6.4(1) 6 * * 6 9 Mountrail 12 21 24 11 16 16 Nelson 67 21 45 76 Pembina 75 76 38 Pierce 44 30 38 38

17.0 (10) 48.6 (2)

243

22.2 (7)

285

Ramsey

328

294

53.4 (3)

3.0 (8)



16.8(14)

3.2 (2) 286

Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 5B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

Comparison of Maternal Health Indicators (2, 3)														
County	Pre	epregnancy (4)	ВМІ	Weight Gain (5)				Anem (Low Hb	/Hct)		Dur	etes	Dı	ertension uring gnancy
		Under- weight	Over- weight	< Ideal > Ideal		> Ideal	3rd Tı	rimester	Postp	oartum		·		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Numbe	er % (Rank)
Ransom	73	* *	* *	62	* *	* *	17	* *	46	* *	82	* *	82	* *
Renville	9	* *	* *	9	* *	* *	1	* *	9	* *	12	* *	12	* *
Richland	249	2.4 (4)	60.6 (11)	191	9.9 (1)	52.4 (8)	32	* *	162	28.4 (12)	224	11.6 (14)	224	8.9(4)
Rolette	855	2.1 (2)	62.3 (13)	795	17.7 (12)	50.7 (5)	78	* *	576	23.4 (9)	771	5.4 (5)	774	9.2(5)
Sargent	44	* *	* *	36	* *	* *	6	* *	24	* *	31	* *	31	* *
Sheridan	24	* *	* *	22	* *	* *	5	* *	13	* *	21	* *	21	* *
Stark	308	3.6 (12)	57.5 (9)	241	15.8 (7)	52.7 (9)	78	* *	138	11.6 (1)	261	5.7 (7)	261	8.8(3)
Steele	15	* *	* *	13	* *	* *	6	* *	11	* *	13	* *	13	* *
Stutsman	285	2.8 (7)	55.1 (6)	244	13.5 (2)	56.1 (13)	53	* *	200	21.0 (6)	266	6.8 (9)	266	12.0(8)
Towner	17	* *	* *	16	* *	* *	2	* *	12	* *	15	* *	15	* *
Traill	98	* *	* *	76	* *	* *	24	* *	73	* *	87	* *	86	* *
Walsh	271	3.3 (11)	62.7 (14)	234	20.1 (14)	50.4 (3)	36	* *	135	26.7(10)	209	5.7 (7)	209	15.3(13)
Ward	1,314	2.4 (4)	52.5 (1)	1,085	13.9 (4)	55.2 (11)	282	21.3 (1)	894	14.4 (2)	1,183	4.7 (4)	1,182	10.7(6)
Wells	44	* *	* *	38	* *	* *	3	* *	29	* *	35	* *	35	* *
Williams	337	3.0 (8)	59.6 (10)	263	16.3 (9)	47.5 (1)	97	* *	210	14.8 (3)	255	7.5 (11)	254	11.4(7)
North Dakota	10,658	2.8	55.9	8,928	16.1	52.1	1,921	27.4	7,195	21.1	9,498	5.7	9,497	10.7

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0 to 29.9), obese (BMI >30.0).

⁽⁵⁾ Based on 2009 IOM report, "Weight Gain During Pregnancy": underweight during pregnancy (ideal weight gain = 28 to 40 pounds), normal weight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 25 to 35 pounds), overweight prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 15 to 25 pounds), obese prepregnancy (ideal weight gain = 11 to 20 pounds).

⁽⁶⁾ Based on 1990 MMWR, "Recommendations to Prevent and Control Iron Deficiency in the United States"; adjusted for altitude and smoking.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 6B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County **Combined 3 Years**

		Compariso		rnal Beh		dicators (2, 3)	
County		Medical Care		WIC E	nrollment	Multivitamin	Consumption
		1st Trimester	No Care	1st T	rimester	Prepregnancy (4)	During Preganancy (5)
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)
Adams	23	* *	* *	37	* *	23 *	* 19 * *
Barnes	91	* *	* *	123	43.1 (8)	121 24.0 (5) 112 25.0 (1)
Benson	239	59.8 (13)	8.4 (4)	286	25.9 (14)	77 *	* 240 14.2 (9)
Bottineau	38	* *	* *	50	* *	50 *	* 44 * *
Bowman	21	* *	* *	31	* *	23 *	* 23 * *
Burke	6	* *	* *	6	* *	6 *	* 6 * *
Burleigh	744	79.7 (7)	10.5 (7)	1,268	40.9 (10)	1,040 19.9 (1	0) 914 14.7 (6)
Cass	1,394	76.0 (10)	11.4 (10)	2,176	44.7 (7)	1,593 21.5 (3) 1,677 15.3 (5)
Cavalier	29	* *	* *	38	* *	35 *	* 31 * *
Dickey	44	* *	* *	69	* *	62 *	* 55 * *
Divide	4	* *	* *	9	* *	7 *	* 5 * *
Dunn	5	* *	* *	10	* *	8 *	* 8 * *
Eddy	10	* *	* *	16	* *	11 *	* 11 * *
Emmons	47	* *	* *	60	* *	56 *	* 45 * *
Foster	27	* *	* *	38	* *	36 *	* 30 * *
Golden Val	4	* *	* *	5	* *	6 *	* 5 * *
Grand Fork	793	80.2 (6)	10.6 (8)	1,318	47.1 (5)	1,253 24.1 (4) 1,022 14.6 (8)
Grant	12	* *	* *	16	* *	15 *	* 14 * *
Griggs	24	* *	* *	28	* *	30 *	* 23 * *
Hettinger	11	* *	* *	13	* *	12 *	* 11 * *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Table 6B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1)

North Dakota

Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County **Combined 3 Years**

	(Compariso		rnal Beh		dicators (2, 3)			
County		Medical Care		WIC Er	rollment	Multivitamin C	onsumption		
		1st Trimester No Ca		1st Trimester		Prepregnancy (4)	During Preganancy (5)		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)		
Kidder	19	* *	* *	28	* *	24 * *	21 * *		
LaMoure	8	* *	* *	11	* *	9 * *	9 * *		
Logan	8	* *	* *	12	* *	10 * *	8 * *		
McHenry	16	* *	* *	38	* *	37 * *	33 * *		
McIntosh	21	* *	* *	39	* *	39 * *	33 * *		
McKenzie	7	* *	* *	12	* *	7 * *	7 * *		
McLean	53	* *	* *	79	* *	76 * *	69 * *		
Mercer	41	* *	* *	62	* *	48 * *	44 * *		
Morton	212	77.8 (9)	13.7 (13)	372	36.0 (13)	302 17.5 (12)	260 16.5 (4)		
Mountrail	4	* *	* *	11	* *	9 * *	9 * *		
Nelson	16	* *	* *	25	* *	23 * *	19 * *		
Pembina	58	* *	* *	77	* *	68 * *	67 * *		
Pierce	33	* *	* *	45	* *	42 * *	37 * *		
Ramsey	228	78.9 (8)	9.6 (5)	331	45.6 (6)	281 23.1 (7)	257 11.3 (13)		
Ransom	47	* *	* *	74	* *	72 * *	58 * *		
Renville	8	* *	* *	9	* *	8 * *	7 * *		
Richland	151	81.5 (4)	10.6 (8)	250	51.6 (2)	237 20.7 (9)	201 13.9 (10)		
Rolette	619	73.5 (12)	12.6 (11)	869	58.3 (1)	850 13.9 (13)	666 12.6 (12)		
Sargent	24	* *	* *	45	* *	31 * *	30 * *		
Sheridan	17	* *	* *	24	* *	23 * *	18 * *		

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.
- (5) Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.
- Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

Table 6B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota Comparison of Maternal Behavioral Indicators by County

Combined 3 Years

	(Compar	isoı	n of I	Mate	rnal Beh	aviora	ıl Inc	dicators	(2, 3)				
County		Medical	Care			WIC Enrollment			Multivitamin Consumption					
	1st Trimester No Care			1st Trimester			Prepregnancy			During Preganance		псу		
	Number	% (Rar			% ank)	Number % (Rank)		Number	(4) - % (Rank)		Number		% ank)	
Stark	208	86.5	(1)	1.4	(1)	311	42.4	(9)	300	33.3	(1)	244	13.1	(11)
Steele	11	*	*	*	*	16	*	*	15	*	*	12	*	*
Stutsman	208	82.7	(2)	13.0	(12)	287	51.2	(3)	282	30.9	(2)	253	19.4	(2)
Towner	7	*	*	*	*	17	*	*	12	*	*	11	*	*
Traill	73	*	*	*	*	99	*	*	99	*	*	28	*	*
Walsh	158	75.3	(11)	10.1	(6)	277	49.1	(4)	245	17.6	(11)	185	10.8	(14)
Ward	900	82.3	(3)	8.3	(3)	1,350	40.0	(11)	1,246	26.8	(3)	1,080	14.7	(6)
Wells	28	*	*	*	*	44	*	*	37	*	*	31	*	*
Williams	195	81.0	(5)	7.7	(2)	348	39.4	(12)	197	23.9	(6)	265	16.6	(3)
North Dakota	6,944	78.5		10.1		10,759	44.1		9,093	23.0		8,257	14.9	



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Women who reported taking a multivitamin four times a week or more in the month before pregnancy; proxy for folic acid consumption.

⁽⁵⁾ Pregnant women who reported taking a multivitamin in the past month: proxy for prenatal iron consumption.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)				
County		Smo	king		By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household				
		onths Pregnancy	Last 3 Months of Pregnancy			Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Adams	27	* *	25	* *	6	* *	28	* *	24	* *	
Barnes	122	48.4 (7)	123	23.6 (6)	43	* *	119	12.6 (2)	119	10.1 (10)	
Benson	280	58.6 (11)	220	40.9 (14)	96	* *	253	30.0 (13)	217	18.0 (12)	
Bottineau	51	* *	49	* *	21	* *	49	* *	47	* *	
Bowman	28	* *	26	* *	4	* *	26	* *	26	* *	
Burke	6	* *	9	* *	2	* *	6	* *	9	* *	
Burleigh	1,059	50.0 (8)	1,093	24.1 (7)	411	39.4 (2)	1,019	13.7 (4)	1,071	4.8 (2)	
Cass	1,985	42.3 (5)	2,063	21.6 (4)	648	35.0 (4)	1,901	17.3 (9)	1,963	7.4 (6)	
Cavalier	36	* *	22	* *	6	* *	34	* *	20	* *	
Dickey	61	* *	58	* *	15	* *	58	* *	57	* *	
Divide	4	* *	12	* *	2	* *	7	* *	13	* *	
Dunn	8	* *	6	* *	1	* *	8	* *	4	* *	
Eddy	10	* *	17	* *	4	* *	11	* *	17	* *	
Emmons	59	* *	61	* *	2	* *	56	* *	59	* *	
Foster	37	* *	38	* *	13	* *	36	* *	37	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)				
County		Smo	_		Quit S By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household				
		onths Pregnancy		Months egnancy	Visit and Ciga	Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postp	Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Golden Val	6	* *	8	* *	2	* *	5	* *	8	* *	
Grand Fork	1,234	42.2 (4)	1,178	20.5 (3)	319	38.6 (3)	1,167	14.5 (5)	1,085	7.7 (7)	
Grant	15	* *	15	* *	3	* *	15	* *	15	* *	
Griggs	30	* *	34	* *	12	* *	27	* *	34	* *	
Hettinger	13	* *	12	* *	0	* *	12	* *	10	* *	
Kidder	24	* *	27	* *	11	* *	24	* *	27	* *	
LaMoure	9	* *	10	* *	5	* *	9	* *	10	* *	
Logan	12	* *	10	* *	2	* *	11	* *	6	* *	
McHenry	25	* *	42	* *	6	* *	37	* *	41	* *	
McIntosh	37	* *	31	* *	5	* *	36	* *	31	* *	
McKenzie	9	* *	11	* *	3	* *	8	* *	10	* *	
McLean	77	* *	78	* *	28	* *	75	* *	70	* *	
Mercer	50	* *	55	* *	25	* *	48	* *	55	* *	
Morton	299	52.5 (9)	329	22.5 (5)	123	35.0 (4)	291	17.2 (8)	321	6.5 (4)	
Mountrail	10	* *	10	* *	3	* *	10	* *	9	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

North Dakota

Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

				ombineu s	i cai s						
		Co	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	2, 3)				
County		Smo	king		By First	moking Prenatal	Smoking in Household				
	•	onths Pregnancy	Last 3 Months of Pregnancy			Stayed Off rettes (4)	Pre	natal	Postpartum		
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	
Nelson	24	* *	16	* *	4	* *	23	* *	14	* *	
Pembina	72	* *	75	* *	20	* *	68	* *	76	* *	
Pierce	42	* *	38	* *	4	* *	39	* *	38	* *	
Ramsey	294	53.1 (10)	289	33.2 (11)	124	27.4 (9)	283	24.0 (10)	285	7.0 (5)	
Ransom	64	* *	81	* *	23	* *	69	* *	81	* *	
Renville	8	* *	10	* *	2	* *	8	* *	12	* *	
Richland	235	60.0 (13)	225	32.9 (10)	107	29.0 (7)	231	34.6 (14)	223	16.1 (11)	
Rolette	845	63.4 (14)	782	40.2 (13)	446	24.7 (10)	830	26.0 (11)	771	9.2 (9)	
Sargent	32	* *	31	* *	7	* *	31	* *	31	* *	
Sheridan	22	* *	26	* *	7	* *	22	* *	23	* *	
Stark	300	40.0 (2)	270	27.4 (9)	86	* *	284	16.9 (7)	264	18.2 (13)	
Steele	14	* *	14	* *	3	* *	14	* *	14	* *	
Stutsman	290	44.5 (6)	278	25.9 (8)	102	28.4 (8)	269	11.9 (1)	250	3.6 (1)	
Towner	14	* *	15	* *	4	* *	14	* *	15	* *	
Traill	97	* *	85	* *	13	* *	88	* *	79	* *	

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

Table 7B 2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance

North Dakota
Comparison of Smoking Indicators by County
Combined 3 Years

		Col	mpariso	n of Smo	king Ind	icators	(2, 3)			
County		Smoking				Quit Smoking By First Prenatal		Smoking in	Household	
		onths Pregnancy	Last 3 Months of Pregnancy		Visit and Stayed Off Cigarettes (4)		Pre	natal	Postpartum	
	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Walsh	244	34.8 (1)	215	19.1 (1)	61	* *	232	12.9 (3)	202	5.0 (3)
Ward	1,229	41.3 (3)	1,185	19.3 (2)	324	42.9 (1)	1,173	15.4 (6)	1,165	7.8 (8)
Wells	37	* *	35	* *	10	* *	36	* *	34	* *
Williams	287	59.6 (12)	251	39.4 (12)	100	33.0 (6)	270	28.1 (12)	218	18.8 (14)
North Dakota	9,773	46.4	9,593	24.7	3,268	33.9	9,370	18.5	9,210	8.8



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

⁽⁴⁾ Among women who smoked 3 months prior to pregnancy.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	eators (2, 3)		
County		Birthweight				Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed	
		Low (4)	High (5)					
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	
Adams	8	* *	* *	8	* *	6 * *	8 * *	
Barnes	94	* *	* *	68	* *	59 * *	102 34.3 (4)	
Benson	162	5.6 (3) 1	2.3 (12)	132	13.6 (8)	114 2.6 (5)	195 10.3 (13)	
Bottineau	6	* *	* *	6	* *	3 * *	6 * *	
Bowman	16	* *	* *	14	* *	12 * *	20 * *	
Burke	8	* *	* *	5	* *	4 * *	8 * *	
Burleigh	913	8.5 (6)	6.8 (1)	859	11.3 (3)	758 1.6 (1)	966 34.0 (5)	
Cass	975	9.5 (9)	7.2 (3)	912	12.0 (4)	800 3.4 (8)	1,042 41.5 (1)	
Cavalier	11	* *	* *	10	* *	10 * *	14 * *	
Dickey	52	* *	* *	42	* *	39 * *	53 * *	
Divide	8	* *	* *	7	* *	6 * *	8 * *	
Dunn	1	* *	* *	1	* *	0 * *	1 * *	
Eddy	12	* *	* *	11	* *	8 * *	14 * *	
Emmons	43	* *	* *	37	* *	36 * *	48 * *	

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	eators (2, 3)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	eterm (6)	Full Term LBW (7)	Ever Breastfed	
		Low (4)	High (5)					
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	Number % (Rank)	
Foster	29	* *	* *	24	* *	16 * *	32 * *	
Golden Val	6	* *	* *	2	* *	2 * *	6 * *	
Grand Fork	750	8.7 (7)	7.3 (4)	554	12.8 (7)	476 2.5 (4)	846 38.8 (3)	
Grant	13	* *	* *	12	* *	12 * *	14 * *	
Griggs	14	* *	* *	9	* *	7 * *	17 * *	
Hettinger	5	* *	* *	5	* *	4 * *	6 * *	
Kidder	23	* *	* *	22	* *	19 * *	25 * *	
LaMoure	9	* *	* *	9	* *	8 * *	9 * *	
Logan	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *	
McHenry	33	* *	* *	25	* *	19 * *	37 * *	
McIntosh	3	* *	* *	1	* *	1 * *	3 * *	
McKenzie	0	* *	* *	0	* *	0 * *	0 * *	
McLean	49	* *	* *	39	* *	35 * *	52 * *	
Mercer	48	* *	* *	45	* *	38 * *	50 * *	

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Comparis	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2, 3)		
County		Birthweight					rm LBW 7)	Ever Breastfed	
		Low (4)	High (5)						
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Morton	267	10.9 (12)	7.5 (5)	242	12.0 (4)	213	2.8 (6)	295	29.2 (7)
Mountrail	2	* *	* *	0	* *	0	* *	2	* *
Nelson	10	* *	* *	6	* *	5	* *	11	* *
Pembina	46	* *	* *	33	* *	29	* *	51	* *
Pierce	27	* *	* *	26	* *	25	* *	29	* *
Ramsey	194	6.7 (5) 1	0.3 (10)	198	10.6 (2)	172	2.3 (3)	216	28.2 (8)
Ransom	29	* *	* *	20	* *	18	* *	32	* *
Renville	10	* *	* *	7	* *	7	* *	10	* *
Richland	148	9.5 (9)	6.8 (1)	120	6.7 (1)	112	5.4 (10)	157	28.0 (9)
Rolette	517	10.8 (11) 1	2.0 (11)	488	13.7 (9)	419	4.3 (9)	584	21.6 (12)
Sargent	26	* *	* *	25	* *	21	* *	30	* *
Sheridan	15	* *	* *	12	* *	11	* *	15	* *
Stark	123	6.5 (4)	8.9 (8)	85	* *	74	* *	140	41.4 (2)
Steele	9	* *	* *	6	* *	6	* *	10	* *

- (1) Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.
- (2) Excludes records with unknown data or errors.
- (3) Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.
- (4) <2500 g.
- (5) >4000 g.
- (6) <37 weeks gestation.
- (7) 37 or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.
 - Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

2008 - 2010 Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance (1) North Dakota

Comparison of Infant Health Indicators by County Combined 3 Years

		Compari	son of l	nfant He	alth Indic	ators (2	2, 3)		
County		Birthweight		Pre	Preterm (6)		rm LBW 7)	Ever Breastfed	
		Low (4)	High (5)			·	,		
	Number	% (Rank)	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)	Number	% (Rank)
Stutsman	104	3.8 (1)	7.7 (6)	81	* *	75	* *	115	23.5 (10)
Towner	10	* *	* *	10	* *	6	* *	11	* *
Traill	37	* *	* *	29	* *	28	* *	40	* *
Walsh	166	4.8 (2)	9.0 (9)	156	14.1 (10)	134	3.0 (7)	188	22.3 (11)
Ward	696	8.8 (8)	8.2 (7)	502	12.5 (6)	432	1.6 (1)	772	31.5 (6)
Wells	29	* *	* *	29	* *	26	* *	31	* *
Williams	9	* *	* *	5	* *	3	* *	11	* *
North Dakota	5,765	8.5	8.6	4,939	12.1	4,308	2.7	6,332	33.5



Page:

Run Date: 6 / 27 / 11

⁽¹⁾ Reporting period is January 1 through December 31.

⁽²⁾ Excludes records with unknown data or errors.

⁽³⁾ Rank compares one county's rate to other counties. Rank 1 = best rate.

^{(4) &}lt;2500 g.

^{(5) &}gt;4000 g.

^{(6) &}lt;37 weeks gestation.

^{(7) 37} or more weeks gestation and <2500 g.

Percentages are not calculated if <100 records are available for analysis after exclusions.